

THE MYSORE GAZETTE.

Published by Authority.

BANGALORE, SATURDAY, JANUARY 21, 1882.

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

PART II.

Notifications by the Govt. of India; Resident; Chief Judge, &c.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

Fort William, the 11th January 1882.

No. 59.

RESOLUTION—By the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce.

Read the undermentioned papers relating to the issue of duplicates of Government Promissory Notes alleged to have been lost or destroyed :—

For the second time—

Proceedings in the Financial Department for the month of September 1873, Accounts, Nos. 6—12, deciding that, as a general rule, duplicate notes should not be issued till after ten years from the last correct advertisement of loss, and then only under direct orders received from the Government of India.

Proceedings in the Financial Department for October 1878, Nos. 772—773, authorizing the Comptroller General to issue duplicates under the rules, taking orders of Government only in exceptional cases.

For the first time—

Letter from Comptroller General,	No. 733;	dated the 23rd April	1880.
" to " "	No. 664,	" 19th May	"
" from " "	No. 2614,	" 28th June	"
" " " "	No. 2241 D.,	" 15th "	"
" to " "	No. 2730,	" 9th September	"
" " " "	No. 2468,	" 27th August	"
" from " "	No. 4478D.,	" 9th September	"

OBSERVATIONS.—By existing practice, when the applicant for a duplicate note has given evidence of the loss of the original, and has established, by strong *prima facie* evidence, his title to the note, and has taken the prescribed measures preliminary to the application, a duplicate is issued after the lapse of ten years from the date of the last correct advertisement of the loss on a bond being executed by the claimant and two sureties, indemnifying Government against loss. Meanwhile, interest is allowed to be drawn also under a bond of indemnity.

2. It is found that, as the law at present stands, these measures do not give the Government sufficient protection from loss, as the period of limitation against the possible holder of the original note

runs only from the date on which the note becomes payable; and the date may be many years after the bond of indemnity under which the duplicates have been issued has ceased to be of any practical value.

RESOLUTION.—To protect the State from such double liability, the Governor General in Council has resolved to modify the procedure as follows:—

- (1) Every claim to the issue of a duplicate note, in lieu of one alleged to be lost, will be submitted by the Public Debt Office to be provisionally decided by the Comptroller General after at least two years have elapsed from the date of the last correct advertisement.
- (2) If there appears to be no objection to the issue of a duplicate note to the claimant, the Comptroller General will record his opinion to that effect, and will direct that interest upon the note be paid to the claimant after execution of a bond of indemnity with two sufficient sureties.
- (3) If the note is payable after notice given in the Gazette, the Comptroller General will give that notice, and will (unless any reason to the contrary appears) issue a duplicate note, on indemnity bond as above, six years after the date of payment fixed in such notice.
- (4) But if the note is of a loan of which such notice of payment cannot immediately be given, then no further steps can be taken, until notice of payment can be given in accordance with the terms of the loan.
- (5) The Comptroller General will publish these notices twice a year, about January and again about July, and will to each such notice append a list showing the numbers of notes already, within the last six years, advertised for payment under this Resolution.
- (6) These orders will apply to all notes on which, under existing rules, interest has been ordered to be paid, but of which duplicates have not yet been issued.
- (7) No lost note of a loan, which has been ordered for discharge, may be paid until a duplicate note has been issued under the above procedure.

These orders do not apply to stock certificates payable to bearer, of which duplicates may not be issued without special orders of Government in each case.

ORDERED, that this Resolution be communicated to the Comptroller General for information and guidance, and published in the *Gazette of India*.

T. C. HOPE,
Secy. to the Govt. of India.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

The 12th January 1882.

The undermentioned person has been enrolled as a Pleader of the 1st Grade in the Courts of Mysore, under the Rules of 18th February 1879:—

Mallur Lakshminarasimhaiengar. Proposing to practise in the Courts of the Nundydroog Division.

J. D. SANDFORD,
Chief Judge.

INAM DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

No. 23.

The 17th January 1882.

Inamdars are hereby informed that 551 final title-deeds for minor inams situated in the Paragada Taluk have this day been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldroog District for distribution.

2. They are accordingly to appear to receive the same on receipt of individual notices from the Amildar of the aforesaid taluk, who is hereby instructed to issue such notices without delay.

3. The title deeds already issued for the above minor inams are hereby declared to be cancelled.

J. P. GRANT,
Supt. of Inam Settlements.